

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 98-1232 (TPJ)

STATE OF NEW YORK, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 98-1233 (TPJ)

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Counterclaim-Plaintiff,

v.

ELIOT SPITZER, attorney general of the
state of New York, in his official
Capacity, et al.,

Counterclaim-Defendants.

[PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER NO. 10

It is, this ____ day of June, 2000,

ORDERED, that defendant Microsoft shall have the opportunity to file a reply to plaintiffs' Response to Microsoft's Motion for Stay, if it so chooses, not later than Wednesday, June 14, 2000; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that plaintiffs shall file their motion for certification of direct appeal under the Expediting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 29(b), not later than one business day after Microsoft files its notice of appeal in this case, which Microsoft shall serve on plaintiffs; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that defendant Microsoft shall file its response, if any, to plaintiffs' motion for certification not later than four business days after that motion is filed; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that plaintiffs shall file their reply, if any, to Microsoft's response to the motion for certification not later than one business day after such response is filed; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that the Court briefly will reserve ruling on Microsoft's motion for stay until after Microsoft has filed its notice of appeal with this Court and the parties have briefed plaintiffs' motion for certification in accordance with the schedule set forth above, whereupon the Court will rule simultaneously on Microsoft's motion for stay and plaintiffs' motion for certification; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED, that all filings aforesaid shall be on the public record.

Thomas Penfield Jackson
U.S. District Judge